



VINITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		'/			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/512,910	02/25/2000	Matin Stevens Smith	584-1021	6978	
75	590 07/30/2003				
William M Lee Jr Lee Mann Smith McWilliams Sweeney & Ohlson P O Box 2786			EXAMINER		
			NGUYEN, THUAN T		
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2685	Li	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003	7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)		
	t	09/512,910		SMITH ET AL.		
•)	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		THUAN T. N	GUYEN	2685		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence address		
THE I - External ferrore - If the residual from	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutor atutory period will apply and will e: will, by statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be t y minimum of thirty (30) da pire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ion to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on		•		
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final.			
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract on of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ai	re withdrawn from consi	deration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requ	uirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>2/25/00</u> is/are	: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ c	bjected to by the Ex	xaminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🤈	The proposed drawing correction filed	d on is: a)☐ app	oved b)∏ disappr	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Office	e action.			
12) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.				
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been r	eceived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
* 9	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internsee the attached detailed Office action	ational Bureau (PCT Ru	ile 17.2(a)).	•		
14) 🗌 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).		
) The translation of the foreign lan Acknowledgment is made of a claim for t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
J.S. Patent and Ti PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary		Part of Paper No. 4		

•\$

Application/Control Number: 09/512,910

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1, 3 and 5 do not have text labels; and Figs. 9a, 9b & 9c shows details in too small characters, they should be in separate sheets with readable details and text labels. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 1, 17, and 18 recites the limitation "the proposed change" and "the pre-specified level of link performance" in the claim language. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There are "a request to change" and "a specified level of link performance", in order to avoid a confusion in referring to terms may be have different meanings within a claim, "a proposed change" and "a pre-specified level of link performance" should be replaced to particularly point out the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/512,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

5. Claim 2 recites the limitation "about the locations of each base station" and "the communication link" in the claim language according to claim 1; however, claim 1 does not call for any location of each base station" and only "a communications link" not "a plurality of communications links" is addressed. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 6. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said specified level" and "said proposed subscriber station" in the claim language according to claim 1; however, claim 1 refers to "a specified level of link performance" and there is none "a proposed subscriber station", but "a request to change" and "the proposed change" (see item 3 above) instead. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 7. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said change to the communications network" in claim 6 refers to a different meaning according to claim 1 for "a request to change the communications network", for example, the first one implies a change added to the (one) network, but the second one refers to a request to change from a network A to a network B. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim.

8. Claim 7 recites the limitation "the proposed subscriber station" and "the chosen base station" in the claim language. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 2685

Claim 7 is also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite in that it fails to point out what is included or excluded by the claim language. This claim is an omnibus type claim. Claim 7 recites "the proposed subscriber station" and "the chosen base station" according to claim 6, but there were none "a proposed subscriber station" and "a chosen base station" is introduced in claim 6.

- 9. Claim 10 recites the limitation "said specified level" in the claim language according to claim 7; however, claim 7 does not refers to any "specified level" but claim 1 with "a specified level of link performance" instead. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claim 13 recites the limitations of "the carrier level", "the sum of estimates", "the interference level", "the adjacent channel interference level" and "the noise level" in the claim language according to claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 11. Claim 19 recites the limitation "said communications links" in the claim language; however, only "a communications link" not "a plurality of communications links" is addressed. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 12. Claim 20 recites the limitation "the locations of the base stations" and "the frequencies of said communications links" and "the fixed frequency plan" in the claim language according to claim 19; however, claim 19 does not call for any location of the base stations; only "a communications link" not "a plurality of communications links" is addressed; and none of "a fixed frequency plan" is addressed in claim 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

. Application/Control Number: 09/512,910

Art Unit: 2685

13. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform to current U.S.

practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document or not

conforming to U.S. standards for patent examination, and are replete with grammatical and

idiomatic errors. Therefore, the Examiner has to suspend further examinations, and would

reconsider this application with a revised claim language in a better form conforming to the

current U.S. patent practice for a better clarification and for further re-examination.

Conclusion

14. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tony Thuan Nguyen whose telephone number is (703)

308-5860. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to

7:00 PM, with alternate Fridays off.

• Application/Control Number: 09/512,910

Art Unit: 2685

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban, can be reached at (703) 305-4385.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-0377**.

TONYT. EGUYEN

Tony T. Nguyen Art Unit 2685 July 23, 2003